

## Excel Abatements - Abatement Summary

<u>Jurisdiction</u>	<u>Tax Year</u>	<u># of Companies<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Value Loss Due to Abatement</u>	<u>Tax Loss</u>	<u>% of Total Value<sup>2</sup></u>
Jefferson County	1986	1	23,080,800	55,393.92	0.23%
	1987	5	81,451,300	195,483.12	0.88%
	1988	7	82,955,250	174,206.03	0.89%
	1989	8	188,201,440	442,273.38	2.02%
	1990	10	248,748,240	684,057.66	2.58%
	1991	12	443,191,240	1,369,460.93	4.35%
	1992	13	843,313,680	2,723,903.19	8.08%
	1993	15	1,194,837,830	3,823,481.06	10.86%
	1994	15	1,239,897,050	4,215,649.97	11.35%
	1995	11	1,162,565,900	4,301,493.83	10.24%
	1996	9	881,916,620	3,263,091.49	7.72%
	1997	6	608,238,850	2,220,071.80	5.28%
	1998	2	209,380,310	764,238.13	1.78%
	1999	6	158,258,690	577,644.22	1.36%
	2000	8	358,711,210	1,309,295.92	2.93%
	2001	9	889,499,960	3,246,674.85	6.70%
	2002	9	1,099,286,320	4,012,395.07	8.28%
	2003	9	1,282,618,720	4,681,558.33	9.24%
	2004	12	1,034,344,310	4,395,963.32	7.10%
	2005	12	1,380,269,700	5,866,146.23	8.76%
	2006	12	1,616,254,070	6,465,016.28	8.90%
	2007	14	1,814,398,530	7,076,154.27	8.90%
	2008	15	2,129,196,020	7,771,565.47	9.29%
	2009	12	923,906,330	3,372,258.10	4.19%
	2010	13	790,191,580	2,884,199.27	3.45%
	2011	11	766,817,130	2,798,882.52	3.21%
	2012	9	1,196,539,640	4,367,369.69	4.52%
	2013	9	1,877,188,020	6,851,736.27	6.76%
	2014	7	1,259,803,019	4,598,281.02	4.94%
	2015	9	1,280,440,084	4,673,606.31	5.12%
2016	17	1,176,803,900	4,295,334.24	4.64%	
2017	21	1,787,143,387	6,522,662.32	6.83%	
			<b>30,029,449,130</b>	<b>109,999,548</b>	

## Excel Abatements - Abatement Summary

<u>Jurisdiction</u>	<u>Tax Year</u>	<u># of Companies<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Value Loss Due to Abatement</u>	<u>Tax Loss</u>	<u>% of Total Value<sup>2</sup></u>
Beaumont ISD <sup>3</sup>	1987	1	0	0.00	0.00%
	1988	2	25,572,560	225,038.53	0.58%
	1989	2	33,985,830	330,682.13	0.76%
	1990	6	89,262,490	912,262.65	1.92%
	1991	8	254,287,140	2,919,216.37	5.09%
	1992	9	464,699,530	6,279,624.16	8.78%
	1993	9	681,346,460	9,204,990.67	11.73%
	1994	10	667,184,490	9,240,505.19	11.44%
	1995	5	384,319,000	5,448,106.14	6.49%
	1996	3	303,809,900	4,306,809.14	5.21%
	1997	2	297,045,300	4,396,270.44	4.92%
	2006	2	233,229,100	3,178,679.40	2.89%
	2007	2	241,651,900	2,646,088.31	2.76%
	2008	2	233,358,000	2,782,794.15	2.44%
	2009	2	214,515,500	2,708,258.19	2.33%
	2010	2	200,327,500	2,624,290.25	2.16%
	2011	2	209,146,300	2,771,188.48	2.20%
	2012	2	204,098,800	2,683,899.22	2.10%
	2013	2	216,381,000	2,845,410.15	2.16%
	2014	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	2015	2	176,752,500	2,386,158.75	1.89%
	2016	4	167,260,301	2,199,472.96	1.76%
	2017	6	565,161,100	7,313,467.21	5.51%
			<b>5,863,394,701</b>	<b>77,403,212.48</b>	
Nederland ISD	2016	1	171,053,300	1,984,218.28	7.84%
	2017	1	193,238,800	2,222,246.20	8.09%
			<b>364,292,100</b>	<b>4,206,464.48</b>	

## Excel Abatements - Abatement Summary

<u>Jurisdiction</u>	<u>Tax Year</u>	<u># of Companies</u> <sup>1</sup>	<u>Value Loss Due to Abatement</u>	<u>Tax Loss</u>	<u>% of Total Value</u> <sup>2</sup>	
Port Arthur ISD <sup>3</sup>	1987	3	3,902,700	39,027.00	0.15%	
	1988	5	9,203,250	92,032.50	0.35%	
	1989	5	38,180,060	442,888.70	1.50%	
	1990	3	47,241,650	557,451.47	1.77%	
	1991	3	55,294,000	724,351.40	2.02%	
	1992	3	119,902,300	1,720,993.68	4.68%	
	1993	2	149,865,570	2,128,091.09	5.70%	
	1994	2	140,790,920	2,055,547.43	5.76%	
	1995	3	103,276,440	1,537,786.19	4.23%	
	1996	3	53,423,780	796,014.32	2.17%	
	1997	2	24,070,140	358,404.38	1.03%	
	2005	1	32,720,200	487,203.78	1.11%	
	2006	2	107,700,900	1,598,396.60	2.84%	
	2007	3	431,356,900	5,514,466.61	9.34%	
	2008	3	421,597,000	5,527,558.27	8.09%	
	2009	3	424,606,600	5,715,204.84	7.94%	
	2010	5	2,169,447,700	29,721,433.49	35.57%	
	2011	5	2,244,484,910	30,695,575.63	36.20%	
	2012	5	3,575,396,660	48,360,815.22	45.54%	
	2013	5	4,605,995,100	62,324,640.90	50.39%	
	2014	3	4,078,280,286	55,198,708.01	51.90%	
	2015	4	4,284,170,750	57,970,828.09	53.78%	
	2016	6	4,168,361,740	56,417,525.64	54.78%	
	2017	6	3,747,831,099	55,504,891.36	51.68%	
				<b>31,037,100,655</b>	<b>425,489,836.60</b>	

## Excel Abatements - Abatement Summary

<u>Jurisdiction</u>	<u>Tax Year</u>	<u># of Companies<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Value Loss Due to Abatement</u>	<u>Tax Loss</u>	<u>% of Total Value<sup>2</sup></u>	
Port Neches ISD <sup>3</sup>	1989	2	31,333,350	363,466.86	2.96%	
	1990	2	35,898,300	416,420.28	3.24%	
	1991	2	93,528,650	1,169,108.13	7.78%	
	1992	2	233,717,350	3,214,384.83	17.14%	
	1993	4	240,821,750	3,371,504.50	16.76%	
	1994	2	328,888,400	4,604,437.60	20.46%	
	1995	2	547,833,140	7,505,314.02	27.73%	
	1996	2	457,006,350	6,260,987.00	23.52%	
	1997	1	233,618,640	3,894,784.84	12.36%	
	1998	1	174,696,890	3,030,190.93	9.83%	
	1999	1	69,470,680	1,183,104.44	3.95%	
	2005	1	187,073,800	3,028,724.82	6.84%	
	2006	1	195,477,140	2,889,738.56	6.55%	
	2007	1	201,585,800	2,403,910.67	6.28%	
	2008	1	196,382,300	2,521,548.73	5.63%	
	2009	1	129,143,800	1,878,977.72	4.44%	
	2010	1	76,240,300	1,095,191.91	2.73%	
	2011	2	405,776,500	5,828,979.42	13.95%	
	2012	2	554,970,650	7,703,381.10	17.69%	
	2013	1	393,617,600	5,644,476.38	11.84%	
	2014	1	290,823,790	4,200,687.91	11.46%	
	2015	1	330,477,440	4,773,449.19	12.72%	
	2016	2	270,506,230	3,907,219.04	10.77%	
	2017	3	451,359,500	6,519,481.75	17.52%	
				<b>6,130,248,350</b>	<b>87,409,470.61</b>	
	Sabine Pass ISD <sup>3</sup>	1992	1	4,867,800	63,540.85	1.61%
		1993	1	4,819,050	69,876.23	1.75%
1994		1	4,815,830	74,404.57	2.05%	
1995		1	4,846,880	72,703.20	2.18%	
1996		1	4,849,730	72,745.95	2.34%	
1997		1	4,826,630	72,399.45	1.66%	
2009		1	293,588,440	3,410,029.73	31.88%	
2010		1	428,834,950	4,888,718.43	42.88%	
2011		1	593,825,990	6,769,616.29	47.21%	
2012		1	561,806,490	6,404,593.99	44.90%	
2013		1	541,646,710	6,364,348.84	44.39%	
2014		1	488,246,530	5,781,815.41	43.09%	
2015		1	424,149,770	5,085,131.59	42.52%	
2016		2	373,497,700	4,529,406.61	39.91%	
2017		0	0	0.00	0.00%	
			<b>3,734,622,500</b>	<b>43,659,331.14</b>		

## Excel Abatements - Abatement Summary

<u>Jurisdiction</u>	<u>Tax Year</u>	<u># of Companies</u> <sup>1</sup>	<u>Value Loss Due to Abatement</u>	<u>Tax Loss</u>	<u>% of Total Value</u> <sup>2</sup>
City of Beaumont	1990	2	1,058,370	5,715.20	0.04%
	1991	2	1,058,370	6,244.38	0.04%
	1992	3	4,118,010	25,531.66	0.14%
	1993	3	4,135,270	25,431.91	0.13%
	1994	3	4,149,170	25,517.40	0.13%
	1995	1	3,063,900	18,842.99	0.09%
	1996	1	3,063,900	18,842.99	0.09%
	1997	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	1998	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	1999	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	2000	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	2001	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	2002	1	5,960,900	39,938.03	0.15%
	2003	1	5,195,640	34,810.79	0.12%
	2004	1	2,394,150	15,897.16	0.05%
	2005	1	1,863,750	12,375.30	0.04%
	2010	1	137,390	879.30	0.00%
	2011	1	134,450	860.48	0.00%
	2012	1	135,300	865.92	0.00%
	2016	1	3,128,850	21,589.07	0.04%
2017	2	1,409,060	10,004.33	0.02%	
			<b>41,006,480</b>	<b>263,346.88</b>	
City of Groves	2000	1	11,156,400	83,449.87	2.55%
	2001	1	6,917,100	52,345.15	1.58%
	2002	1	9,817,600	78,442.62	2.17%
	2003	1	9,786,000	75,604.39	2.02%
	2004	1	7,449,810	57,035.75	1.50%
	2005	1	6,569,450	50,295.71	1.23%
				<b>51,696,360</b>	<b>397,173.49</b>

## Excel Abatements - Abatement Summary

<u>Jurisdiction</u>	<u>Tax Year</u>	<u># of Companies</u> <sup>1</sup>	<u>Value Loss Due to Abatement</u>	<u>Tax Loss</u>	<u>% of Total Value</u> <sup>2</sup>
City of Port Arthur	1987	1	172,950	1,374.95	0.02%
	1988	2	1,460,750	11,612.96	0.16%
	1989	2	1,158,160	9,207.37	0.13%
	1990	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	1991	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	1992	1	4,867,800	37,725.45	0.55%
	1993	1	4,819,050	37,347.64	0.54%
	1994	1	4,815,830	37,322.68	0.53%
	1995	1	4,846,880	37,563.32	0.54%
	1996	1	4,849,730	37,585.41	0.54%
	1997	1	4,826,630	37,406.38	0.53%
	1998	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	1999	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	2000	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	2001	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	2002	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	2003	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	2004	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	2005	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	2006	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	2007	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	2008	1	627,000	4,765.20	0.03%
	2009	1	33,112,800	262,253.38	1.69%
	2010	1	86,694,460	686,620.12	4.16%
	2011	1	161,513,520	1,279,187.08	7.36%
	2012	1	146,569,080	1,160,827.11	6.67%
	2013	1	123,974,940	981,881.52	5.50%
	2014	1	99,539,250	788,350.86	4.64%
	2015	1	98,324,600	778,730.83	4.31%
	2016	1	93,408,370	739,794.29	4.06%
2017	2	72,990,970	578,088.48	3.17%	
			<b>948,572,770</b>	<b>7,507,645.05</b>	

## Excel Abatements - Abatement Summary

<u>Jurisdiction</u>	<u>Tax Year</u>	<u># of Companies</u> <sup>1</sup>	<u>Value Loss Due to Abatement</u>	<u>Tax Loss</u>	<u>% of Total Value</u> <sup>2</sup>
City of Port Neches	1994	1	139,055,600	1,042,917.00	31.26%
	1995	1	375,075,000	2,584,266.75	50.07%
	1996	1	320,092,650	2,205,438.36	42.05%
	1997	1	224,418,360	1,546,242.50	31.55%
	1998	1	167,772,290	1,107,297.11	23.33%
	1999	1	66,692,860	440,172.88	8.57%
	2000	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	2001	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	2002	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	2003	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	2004	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	2005	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	2006	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	2007	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	2008	1	30,000,000	209,850.00	3.71%
	2009	1	54,815,800	383,436.52	6.46%
	2010	1	31,469,040	226,419.74	3.88%
	2011	1	12,474,000	83,882.04	1.42%
	2012	1	14,330,000	97,166.86	1.63%
	2013	1	14,211,600	98,838.55	1.63%
2014	1	13,989,050	97,290.77	1.62%	
			<b>1,464,396,250</b>	<b>10,123,219.08</b>	

## Excel Abatements - Abatement Summary

<u>Jurisdiction</u>	<u>Tax Year</u>	<u># of Companies<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Value Loss Due to Abatement</u>	<u>Tax Loss</u>	<u>% of Total Value<sup>2</sup></u>
Sabine Neches Nav (Jeff Co Nav)	1987	1	0	0.00	0.00%
	1988	1	10,351,600	724.61	0.15%
	1989	2	50,767,870	3,553.75	0.74%
	1990	4	106,745,270	7,472.17	1.51%
	1991	8	330,601,970	23,142.14	4.40%
	1992	10	667,538,010	80,104.56	8.43%
	1993	11	906,741,840	108,718.35	10.79%
	1994	12	1,028,636,020	128,065.18	12.10%
	1995	8	1,102,506,280	209,476.19	12.33%
	1996	6	809,452,530	153,795.98	9.04%
	1997	4	566,529,140	110,291.89	6.21%
	1998	2	206,554,510	37,179.81	2.20%
	1999	6	158,258,690	28,182.71	1.68%
	2000	4	262,140,110	60,352.52	2.66%
	2001	5	557,688,720	128,396.67	5.28%
	2002	9	1,099,286,320	363,017.32	8.34%
	2003	8	1,164,030,160	370,953.13	8.42%
	2004	13	1,288,963,990	399,849.52	8.93%
	2005	12	1,508,095,840	467,826.41	9.67%
	2006	12	1,510,375,770	397,561.11	8.42%
	2007	13	1,491,343,930	361,755.30	7.41%
2008	13	1,759,384,800	394,418.88	7.80%	
2009	9	841,833,660	214,086.72	3.86%	
2010	10	796,472,120	218,217.43	3.54%	
2011	9	1,097,792,140	299,818.01	4.63%	
2012	9	1,601,520,980	446,343.90	6.12%	
2013	12	4,758,445,890	1,397,745.90	17.29%	
2014	8	3,805,927,379	3,401,509.54	14.56%	
2015	10	3,909,442,134	3,582,612.77	15.26%	
2016	17	3,781,234,885	3,465,123.65	15.05%	
2017	21	3,981,258,953	3,648,425.70	15.32%	
			<b>41,159,921,511</b>	<b>20,508,721.83</b>	



## Excel Abatements - Abatement Summary

<u>Jurisdiction</u>	<u>Tax Year</u>	<u># of Companies<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Value Loss Due to Abatement</u>	<u>Tax Loss</u>	<u>% of Total Value<sup>2</sup></u>
Port of Beaumont	1987	1	0	0.00	0.00%
	1988	1	10,351,600	5,175.80	0.26%
	1989	1	19,434,520	9,717.26	0.48%
	1990	2	68,573,230	34,286.62	1.62%
	1991	3	202,053,380	100,460.94	4.47%
	1992	4	381,399,730	250,350.78	8.00%
	1993	4	608,398,800	447,477.32	11.66%
	1994	5	593,883,330	445,192.76	11.35%
	1995	4	430,614,800	318,525.77	8.12%
	1996	2	295,151,500	218,323.56	5.57%
	1997	2	290,710,700	256,935.93	3.29%
	1998	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	1999	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	2000	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	2001	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	2002	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	2003	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	2004	3	39,941,790	34,250.08	0.62%
	2005	3	164,602,320	141,146.49	2.37%
	2006	3	63,456,960	50,207.78	0.85%
	2007	3	87,599,960	65,206.78	1.08%
	2008	3	122,678,420	84,350.00	1.37%
	2009	3	146,171,160	109,153.31	1.72%
	2010	3	48,321,340	35,769.87	0.56%
	2011	3	125,311,590	89,337.14	1.43%
	2012	4	199,312,390	141,113.17	2.19%
	2013	5	362,040,000	252,312.92	3.82%
	2014	2	130,973,950	84,710.02	1.40%
	2015	4	213,182,714	143,425.07	2.29%
	2016	11	430,689,398	289,759.21	4.51%
	2017	13	920,335,687	612,372.96	8.90%
			<b>5,955,189,269</b>	<b>4,219,561.55</b>	

## Excel Abatements - Abatement Summary

<u>Jurisdiction</u>	<u>Tax Year</u>	<u># of Companies<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Value Loss Due to Abatement</u>	<u>Tax Loss</u>	<u>% of Total Value<sup>2</sup></u>
Port of Port Arthur	1986	1	23,080,800	9,301.56	0.73%
	1987	3	69,744,400	33,456.39	2.52%
	1988	5	56,353,650	27,787.98	2.03%
	1989	5	99,958,460	50,509.01	3.76%
	1990	3	108,368,800	56,167.55	3.96%
	1991	3	80,351,100	39,838.08	2.81%
	1992	3	138,035,000	75,187.66	5.28%
	1993	4	215,794,500	118,341.70	8.34%
	1994	2	140,790,920	238,342.13	5.74%
	1995	2	103,276,440	168,558.51	4.11%
	1996	1	53,423,780	87,193.49	2.08%
	1997	1	24,070,140	38,139.38	0.96%
	1998	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	1999	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	2000	2	96,571,100	133,805.05	3.64%
	2001	4	331,811,240	437,781.80	11.99%
	2002	4	362,095,590	475,348.23	13.23%
	2003	4	361,463,120	473,046.79	12.97%
	2004	5	413,368,280	503,933.14	14.14%
	2005	4	432,018,190	526,669.06	13.65%
	2006	5	632,528,730	810,585.57	15.22%
	2007	5	807,840,750	1,035,247.92	16.67%
	2008	5	677,657,000	868,417.45	12.03%
	2009	4	327,406,650	419,571.62	5.25%
	2010	4	201,772,830	258,571.88	2.93%
	2011	3	193,365,880	247,798.38	2.76%
	2012	3	591,977,420	758,619.06	6.89%
	2013	5	3,822,275,620	6,296,243.52	55.71%
	2014	4	3,357,425,090	7,197,379.31	54.23%
	2015	4	3,333,004,940	5,220,952.26	57.53%
	2016	3	3,115,133,500	5,191,214.22	55.72%
	2017	3	2,751,947,990	4,660,341.36	48.54%
			<b>22,922,911,910</b>	<b>36,458,350.05</b>	

## Excel Abatements - Abatement Summary

<u>Jurisdiction</u>	<u>Tax Year</u>	<u># of Companies<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Value Loss Due to Abatement</u>	<u>Tax Loss</u>	<u>% of Total Value<sup>2</sup></u>
Port of Sabine Pass	2007	1	7,350,800	18,939.34	6.52%
	2008	1	154,179,800	299,337.00	49.80%
	2009	1	305,501,140	624,685.68	113.89%
	2010	1	441,514,000	882,524.67	167.80%
	2011	1	605,745,200	883,570.24	161.31%
	2012	1	573,284,600	1,088,822.24	199.43%
	2013	1	555,613,100	1,275,687.68	233.60%
	2014	1	509,108,400	966,934.31	240.59%
	2015	1	445,019,000	1,172,852.02	223.09%
	2016	1	394,651,700	1,085,596.06	220.88%
	2017	0	0	0.00	0.00%
			<b>3,991,967,740</b>	<b>8,298,949.23</b>	
Drainage District #6	1989	1	3,225,500	6,302.30	0.09%
	1990	3	52,373,890	102,333.34	1.48%
	1991	3	143,194,790	270,523.60	3.92%
	1992	4	144,288,530	243,732.18	3.89%
	1993	4	287,072,390	472,750.81	7.28%
	1994	4	270,826,990	465,226.60	6.80%
	1995	2	152,421,300	300,114.49	3.64%
	1996	2	142,254,900	280,097.05	3.33%
	1997	1	140,631,900	281,318.65	3.21%
	1998	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	1999	1	20,875,000	41,758.14	0.45%
	2000	1	72,298,800	144,625.80	1.51%
	2001	1	119,413,600	238,873.77	2.39%
	2002	1	76,665,900	153,361.70	1.52%
	2003	1	61,132,600	122,289.04	1.16%
	2004	2	33,074,300	66,161.50	0.58%
	2005	2	118,724,390	237,495.08	1.94%
	2006	2	189,474,240	379,022.37	2.86%
	2007	2	177,339,780	346,853.56	2.44%
	2008	2	151,167,560	295,664.10	1.86%
	2009	1	111,113,920	228,435.77	1.37%
	2010	1	0	0.00	0.00%
	2011	1	24,530,270	50,431.05	0.29%
	2012	1	45,036,570	92,589.33	0.52%
	2013	1	81,562,170	167,681.22	0.96%
			<b>2,618,699,290</b>	<b>4,987,641.47</b>	

## Excel Abatements - Abatement Summary

<u>Jurisdiction</u>	<u>Tax Year</u>	<u># of Companies<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Value Loss Due to Abatement</u>	<u>Tax Loss</u>	<u>% of Total Value<sup>2</sup></u>
Drainage District #7	1986	1	23,080,800	29,658.83	0.42%
	1987	2	66,014,650	86,809.26	1.35%
	1988	5	64,861,150	85,292.41	1.33%
	1989	6	148,053,210	196,910.77	3.12%
	1990	4	163,364,250	220,541.74	3.21%
	1991	5	191,445,200	287,167.80	3.69%
	1992	5	401,980,350	641,158.66	7.68%
	1993	6	575,047,120	917,200.16	10.53%
	1994	5	650,522,630	1,168,969.65	12.00%
	1995	6	856,525,020	1,494,225.03	14.64%
	1996	7	649,110,990	1,132,387.10	11.12%
	1997	5	376,016,820	647,132.47	7.89%
	1998	2	209,380,310	360,347.70	4.17%
	1999	3	104,389,320	177,983.79	2.07%
	2000	3	218,071,100	371,811.23	4.13%
	2001	5	735,475,440	1,253,985.63	13.45%
	2002	5	988,154,890	1,858,719.35	18.33%
	2003	6	1,219,524,280	2,293,925.17	22.01%
	2004	8	1,235,326,480	2,323,649.11	21.64%
	2005	8	1,281,654,340	2,410,791.81	20.64%
	2006	8	1,386,717,190	2,218,747.50	18.20%
	2007	8	1,461,480,100	2,130,969.52	16.50%
	2008	8	1,504,558,460	2,101,115.89	15.29%
	2009	6	414,998,950	576,753.09	3.91%
	2010	5	313,030,870	435,040.91	2.80%
	2011	4	361,726,260	509,849.55	3.11%
	2012	4	969,546,090	1,366,565.52	7.44%
	2013	5	4,034,037,580	5,685,935.63	36.02%
	2014	5	3,392,170,459	5,787,110.65	31.65%
	2015	5	3,382,584,920	5,591,582.00	32.48%
	2016	5	3,127,172,285	5,020,518.74	30.79%
	2017	7	2,885,605,356	5,767,603.71	28.04%
			<b>33,391,626,870</b>	<b>55,150,460.37</b>	

## Excel Abatements - Abatement Summary

<u>Jurisdiction</u>	<u>Tax Year</u>	<u># of Companies</u> <sup>1</sup>	<u>Value Loss Due to Abatement</u>	<u>Tax Loss</u>	<u>% of Total Value</u> <sup>2</sup>
WCID #10	1998	1	12,631,000	51,628.83	7.80%
	1999	1	16,783,800	61,984.59	9.07%
	2000	0	0	0.00	0.00%
	2001	1	15,588,250	49,161.13	7.89%
	2002	1	21,395,700	90,035.67	10.61%
			<b>66,398,750</b>	<b>252,810.23</b>	

<u>TOTALS ALL ENTITIES:</u>		<u>Value Loss</u>	<u>Tax Loss</u>
	1986	69,242,400	94,354
	1987	221,286,000	356,151
	1988	261,109,810	621,871
	1989	614,298,400	1,855,512
	1990	921,634,490	2,996,709
	1991	1,795,005,840	6,909,514
	1992	3,408,728,090	15,356,238
	1993	4,873,699,630	20,725,211
	1994	5,214,257,180	23,742,098
	1995	5,231,170,980	23,996,976
	1996	3,978,406,360	18,833,312
	1997	2,795,003,250	13,859,398
	1998	980,415,310	5,350,883
	1999	594,729,040	2,510,831
	2000	1,018,948,720	2,103,340
	2001	2,656,394,310	5,407,219
	2002	3,662,663,220	7,071,258
	2003	4,170,149,270	8,304,998
	2004	4,054,863,110	7,796,740
	2005	5,113,591,980	13,228,675
	2006	5,935,214,100	17,987,955
	2007	6,721,948,450	21,599,592
	2008	7,380,786,360	22,861,385
	2009	4,220,714,750	19,903,105
	2010	5,584,454,080	43,957,877
	2011	6,802,644,140	52,308,976
	2012	10,234,524,670	74,672,972
	2013	21,386,989,330	100,186,939
	2014	17,426,287,203	88,102,778
	2015	17,877,548,852	91,379,329
	2016	17,272,902,159	89,146,772
	2017	17,358,281,902	93,359,585
		<b>189,837,893,386</b>	<b>896,588,552.95</b>

<sup>1</sup>Includes projects granted abatement but no value exempted due to contract floor or abatement application not filed.

## Excel Abatements - Abatement Summary

<u>Jurisdiction</u>	<u>Tax Year</u>	<u># of Companies<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>Value Loss Due to Abatement</u>	<u>Tax Loss</u>	<u>% of Total Value<sup>2</sup></u>
---------------------	-----------------	-----------------------------------	------------------------------------	-----------------	-------------------------------------

<sup>2</sup>This figure represents the % of abated value compared to the gross taxable value (value less exempt and ag accounts).

<sup>3</sup>Values and tax rates include portion allocated for CED.